



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/712,783	11/12/2003	Harleigh C. Mason	393-66965-01 7792	
7590 06/04/2004		EXAMINER		
KLARQUIST SPARKMAN, LLP			MCCORMICK EWOLDT, SUSAN BETH	
One World Trade Center Suite 1600		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
121 S.W. Salmon Street Portland, OR 97204			1661	
			DATE MAILED: 06/04/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		10/712,783	MASON ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Susan B. McCormick	1661
The MAILING Period for Reply	DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED ST THE MAILING DAT  - Extensions of time may be after SIX (6) MONTHS from the period for reply specific NO period for reply is second to reply within the Any reply received by the earned patent term adjust.	E OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13  come the mailing date of this communication.  cified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply  pecified above, the maximum statutory period v  set or extended period for reply will, by statute	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(in 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE in the communication, even if timely filed	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
2a)☐ This action is 3)☐ Since this app	olication is in condition for allowar	ovember 2003. action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro fix parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	
Disposition of Claims			
4a) Of the above 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/			
Application Papers			
10)⊠ The drawing(s Applicant may Replacement c	not request that any objection to the lrawing sheet(s) including the correct	r. re: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objector drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is objector caminer. Note the attached Office	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119		
a) All b) S  1. Certifie  2. Certifie  3. Copies  applica	tome * c) None of: d copies of the priority documents d copies of the priority documents of the certified copies of the prior tion from the International Bureau	s have been received in Application it is a state of the contraction in the contract of the co	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		_	
	's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	(PTO-413) ite atent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/712,783

Art Unit: 1661

# **Detailed Action**

#### **Drawings**

The drawings have been approved by an official draftsperson.

#### 35 U.S.C. 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Plant Breeder's Right application number, 20001727, (European Community) in view of Applicant's admission that 'Rosy Glow' was sold as early as July, 2000 (page 4, paper number 6, of reply filed June 16, 2003).

The claimed apple variety 'Rosy Glow' is described in Breeder's Right application number, 20001727, in the European Community, on November 22, 2000. The application was published on February15, 2001, more than one year prior to the filing date of the instant application. The published application is a "printed publication" under 35 U.S.C. 102 because they are accessible to persons concerned with the art to which the document relates. See *In re Wyer*, 655 F.2d 221, 226, 210 USPQ 790, 794 (CCPA 1981). See also MPEP § 2128. Every two months the Community Plant Variety Office publishes an Official Gazette containing all the information appearing in its Registers, such as applications for protection, proposals for variety denomination and grants of title. Other information the CPVO feels important to the public may also be published in the Gazette.

Thus, information regarding the claimed variety, in the form of the publication noted above, was readily available to interested persons or ordinary skill in the art. A publication relied upon as prior art under 35 USC 102(b) must be enabling. The text of the relied upon publication standing alone would not enable one skilled in the art to practice the claimed invention. However, when the claimed subject matter is disclosed identically by a reference an additional reference may be relied on to show that the primary reference has an "enabled disclosure." *In re Samour*, 561 F.2d 559, 197 USPQ 1 (CCPA 1978) and *In re Donahue*, 766 F 2.d 531, 226 USPQ 610 (Fed. Cir. 1985). See also MPEP § 2131.01. When the claim is drawn to a plant, the reference, combined with knowledge in the prior art, must enable one of ordinary skill in the art to reproduce the plant. *In re LeGrice*, 301 F.2d 929, 133 USPQ 365 (CCPA 1962). If one skilled in the art could reproduce the plant from a publicly available source, then a publication describing the plant would have an enabling disclosure. See *Ex parte Thomson*, 24 USPQ2d 1618, 1620 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1992) ("The issue is not whether the [claimed] cultivar Siokra was on public use or sale in the United States but, rather, whether Siokra seeds were available to a skilled artisan anywhere in the world such that he/she

Application/Control Number: 10/712,783 Page 3

Art Unit: 1661

could attain them and make/reproduce the Siokra cultivar disclosed in the cited publications."). See also MPEP § 2121.03.

#### Objection to the Disclosure

37 CFR 1.163

The following is a quotation of section (a) of 37 CFR 1.163:

(a) The specification must contain as full and complete a disclosure as possible of the plant and the characteristics thereof that distinguish the same over related known varieties, and its antecedents, and must particularly point out where and in what manner the variety of plant has been asexually reproduced. In the case of a newly found plant, the specification must particularly point out the location and character of the area where the plant was discovered.

## 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

As specific to United States Plant Patent applications, the specifics of 37 CFR 1.164 (reproduced below) are controlling:

The claim shall be in formal terms to the new and distinct variety of the specified plant as described and illustrated, and may also recite the principal distinguishing characteristics. More than one claim is not permitted.

In plant applications filed under 35 U.S.C. 161, the requirements of 35 U.S.C. are limited. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 162:

No plant patent shall be declared invalid for noncompliance with section 112 of this title if the description is as complete as is reasonably possible. The claim in the specification shall be in formal terms to the plant shown and described.

The disclosure is objected to under 37 CFR 1.163 (a) and under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification presents less than a full, clear and complete botanical description of the plant and the characteristics which define same per se and which distinguish the plant from related known cultivars and antecedents.

More specifically:

- **A.** Applicant should be descriptive with the comparison between the observed plant, 'Rosy Glow' in how it differs from other known cultivars.
- B. On page 4, line 11, it is not certain what Applicant is meaning with the recitation "Some included back in branch notches." Clarification is needed.

Application/Control Number: 10/712,783

Art Unit: 1661

C. On page 5, line 4, the recitation "Medium thick" does not adequately describe the texture of the leaf. Applicant should utilize a more appropriate term such as --rough-- or --glabrous--, for example. Correction is needed.

- D. Applicant should disclose the length and diameter of the flower bud.
- E. Page 7, line 25, Applicant should disclose a color chart number for "green" and "yellow." Correction is needed.

The above listing may not be complete. Applicant should carefully review the disclosure and import into same any corrected or additional information which would aid in botanically identifying and/or distinguishing the cultivar for which United States Plant Patent protection is sought.

## Claim Rejection

35 U.S.C. § 112, 1st and 2nd Paragraphs

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first and second paragraphs as not being supported by a clear and complete botanical description of the plant for reasons set forth in the Objection to the Disclosure Section above.

# Future Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Susan B. McCormick-Ewoldt whose telephone number is (571) 272-0981. The Examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 6:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Bruce Campell, can be reached on (571) 272-0974. The official fax number for the group is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

sbme

KENT BELL
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Kat J. Bell